



# 2017 Minerals Yearbook

---

**GARNET, INDUSTRIAL [ADVANCE RELEASE]**

---

# GARNET, INDUSTRIAL

By Kenneth C. Curry

Domestic survey data and table were prepared by Chanda C. Williams, statistical assistant.

In 2017, U.S. production of crude garnet concentrate for industrial use was 107,000 metric tons (t) valued at about \$20.1 million, a 32% increase in tonnage and a 23% increase in value from 81,300 t (revised) valued at \$16.3 million in 2016. U.S. production of refined garnet in 2017 was to be 96,800 t valued at \$38.9 million, more than double in tonnage and a 62% increase in value from 46,600 t (revised) valued at \$24.0 million in 2016. U.S. exports of industrial garnet in 2017 were 23,300 t, a 74% increase from 13,400 t in 2016. Imports of garnet in 2017 were 54,200 t, a 65% decrease from 156,000 t in 2016. These imports accounted for about 39% of U.S. apparent consumption of 138,000 t. World production was estimated to be 0.97 million metric tons (Mt) in 2017, a 14% decrease from 1.13 Mt in 2016 (table 1).

Garnet is the general name given to a group of complex silicate minerals, all with similar properties and chemical composition. The general chemical formula for garnet minerals is  $A_3B_2(SiO_4)_3$ , where A can be calcium, ferrous iron, magnesium, or manganese, and B can be aluminum, chromium, ferric iron, or rarely, titanium. The most common garnet minerals are classified into three groups—the aluminum-garnet group, the chromium-garnet group, and the iron-garnet group. The most common minerals of the aluminum-garnet group are almandine, grossular, pyrope, and spessartine. Uvarovite is the most common chromium-garnet mineral, and andradite is the most common iron-garnet mineral. Garnet occurs worldwide in many rock types, principally gneisses and schists; other sources include contact metamorphic rocks, metamorphosed crystalline limestones, pegmatites, and serpentinites. Alluvial garnet is associated with heavy-mineral-sand-and-gravel deposits in many parts of the world. Occurrences of garnet are numerous, but relatively few commercially viable garnet deposits have been identified.

Garnet has many industrial applications because of its angular fractures, relatively high hardness and specific gravity, chemical inertness, nontoxicity, lack of crystalline silica, and ability to be recycled. The primary industrial applications of garnet were, in decreasing percentage of consumption, for abrasive blasting, water-jet cutting, water filtration media, and abrasive powders.

This chapter includes information on garnet produced in the United States that was used for industrial purposes. Current information on gem-grade garnet can be found in the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Minerals Yearbook, volume I, Metals and Minerals, chapter on gemstones.

## Production

A few major companies dominate the production of industrial garnet in the United States. The garnet industry has encountered progressively higher production costs and tighter profit margins during the past 20 years, resulting in the loss of noncompetitive producers. Because of the need to keep costs at a minimum,

the most competitive companies are those that produce garnet in combination with one or two other minerals, have reserves that can be mined at a low cost, and can react rapidly to changes in market demand. The value of industrial garnet is influenced by the size and grade of reserves, the type and quality of garnet mined, the proximity of deposits to infrastructure and consumers, and the milling costs. Most industrial-grade garnet mined in the United States consists of almandine (iron-aluminum silicate) and pyrope (magnesium-aluminum silicate), although some andradite (calcium-iron silicate) also is mined domestically. Industrial garnet is produced from alluvial bar and beach deposits, such as those in Idaho and Montana (also those in Australia and India), and from hard-rock deposits, such as those in New York (Moore, 2006).

In the United States, four companies mined garnet in three States during 2017. Three were vertically integrated garnet mining and processing companies—Barton International in Warren County, NY; Emerald Creek Garnet Ltd. in Benewah County, ID; and Garnet USA LLC in Madison County, MT. NYCO Minerals Inc. (a subsidiary of Imerys, S.A., France) in Essex County, NY, produced garnet as a byproduct of wollastonite mining and sold the material to processors. The USGS obtained the data in this report through a voluntary survey of the four U.S. industrial garnet producers; responses were received from three companies. The USGS estimated the production quantities and values of the nonreporting company on the basis of industry sources, industry production trends, and past reports from producers adjusted by employment data from the Mine Safety and Health Administration.

In 2017, U.S. production of crude garnet concentrate for industrial use increased to 107,000 t from 81,300 t (revised) in 2016, and the value increased to \$20.1 million from \$16.3 million. The United States accounted for about 11% of global industrial garnet production. In 2017, refined garnet production was estimated to be 96,800 t valued at \$38.9 million compared with 46,600 t valued at \$24 million in 2016. The increase in refined production is attributed to increased production at existing plants and a new processing plant in Pennsylvania coming online. Industrial garnet's retail value is dependent on type, source, quality, and degree of processing.

Emerald Creek Garnet (a subsidiary of Opta Minerals Inc.) mined alluvial almandine garnet in Idaho by excavating floodplain sediments and using a specialized shaker and jig system to sort the garnet. Garnet USA (a subsidiary of GMA Garnet Pty Ltd.) continued ramping up production of almandine garnet at its quarry in southwestern Montana. In New York, Barton continued mining an almandine-pyrope mixture from a quarry at Ruby Mountain. NYCO Minerals produced andradite garnet as a byproduct from its wollastonite mining operation at Oak Hill; the material was sold as part of a waste stream and

some was processed by International Garnet (an Opta Minerals company) in Keeseville, NY.

In June, Garnet USA opened a new garnet processing plant in Fairless Hills, PA. The new processing plant had a maximum capacity of 250,000 metric tons per year (t/yr) of refined garnet abrasives (GMA Americas, 2017). The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection issued a permit that allowed the facility to produce up to 25,000 t/yr of recycled garnet (Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, 2017). Garnet USA expected to truck garnet from its Montana mine and import garnet from South Africa to process at the plant. The company expected to hire up to 40 workers (DiStefano, 2017).

## Consumption

U.S. apparent consumption, defined as crude production plus imports minus exports, decreased by 38% to an estimated 138,000 t in 2017 from 224,000 t in 2016 owing to a large decrease in imports (table 1). The major end uses for garnet in the United States in 2017 were estimated to be abrasive blasting (50%), water-jet cutting (35%), and water filtration media (10%), with the remainder used as abrasive powders, as an additive in nonslip coatings, in sandpaper, or other uses. Domestic industries that consume garnet include aircraft and motor vehicle manufacturers, ceramics and glass producers, electronic component manufacturers, glass polishing, the petroleum industry, shipbuilding and maintenance, structural steel fabrication and maintenance, textile stonewashing, water filtration plants, and wood-furniture-finishing operations.

Most industrial garnet is used as an abrasive because of its hardness, which ranges from 6 to 7.5 on the Mohs scale. High-quality, high-value garnet grain has been used principally for such applications as optical lens grinding and plate-glass grinding for more than a century; industrial diamond and fused aluminum oxide are competitors in these applications. In recent years, industrial garnet powders have been used for high-quality, scratch-free lapping of semiconductor materials and other metals. Garnet is a good alternative to silica sand as a natural abrasive blasting media because it does not have the health risks associated with the inhalation of airborne crystalline silica dust, and it is a safer abrasive for the environment (Lismore, 2013). Garnet has replaced some silica sand in the abrasive blasting media market, but at present, silica sand and mineral slag continue to be the most widely used media in blasting.

The U.S. oil and gas drilling industry is one of the leading garnet-consuming industries, using garnet for cleaning drill pipes and well casings. Oil and gas producers also use garnet as reservoir-fracturing proppant, alone or mixed with other proppants. During 2017, the number of drill rigs operating in the United States was 665 rigs at the beginning of the year and 929 rigs at the end of December after reaching a high of 958 rigs in July. The average weekly drill rig count for 2017 was 876 operating rigs, compared with an average of 509 in 2016 (Baker Hughes Inc., 2018).

The aircraft manufacturing and shipbuilding industries use garnet for blast cleaning and for finishing metal surfaces. Similar uses include the cleaning and conditioning of aluminum and other soft metals, as well as metal cleaning by structural

steel fabrication shops. Garnet entrained in high-pressure streams of water also is used to cut many different materials. Garnet powders are used for antiskid surfaces, antislip paints, and glass and ceramic polishes.

Water-jet cutting is the process of combining water under ultrahigh pressure with entrained abrasive grit to cut a wide variety of materials. Materials cut using this process range from soft leather and fabric to hard steel, titanium, and other metals. Water-jet cutting makes it possible to carve extremely complex shapes with computer-assisted cutter control. Almandine-pyrope garnet is excellent for this application because it strikes the necessary balance between cutting productivity and equipment wear. The use of abrasives for water-jet cutting began to develop slowly in the late 1980s and early 1990s, and it has grown at a faster rate in the past 20 years. Future growth is expected to remain steady as use of this technology expands in existing areas and enters new applications. Two-dimensional water-jet tables have been produced in larger standard sizes in recent years, up to 4 by 14 meters, and the ability to cut three-dimensional shapes using accessories and special software has become available for some models (Olsen, 2012a, b). Abrasive water-jet cutting provides a tool for manufacturers faced with the task of cutting new materials, such as composites and sandwiched materials that had been problematic to machine in the past. Water-jet cutting allows for flexibility and eliminates the need for flame cutting. Cutting fragile materials or intricate patterns by abrasive water-jet cutting significantly decreases the amount of distortion and breakage (Rapple, 2006). Garnet materials most preferred for water-jet-cutting applications remained in tight supply.

Low-quality industrial garnet, which has lower hardness and is more highly fractured, is used as a high-density medium in water filtration systems because of its relative inertness and resistance to chemical degradation. Garnet is well suited for water filtration and treatment because it is relatively heavy and chemically stable. Mixed-media water filtration, which uses a mixture of anthracite, garnet, and silica sand, has displaced older filtration methods because it provides better water quality. Garnet competes with ilmenite, magnetite, plastics, and silica sand as a filtration medium.

## Prices

Industrial garnet pricing varies over a wide range, depending on application, quality, quantity purchased, source, and type. During 2017, estimated domestic unit values for crude garnet concentrates ranged from about \$150 to \$250 per metric ton, with an average for the year of \$188 per ton; this was a 6% decrease from the 2016 average of \$201 per ton. Domestic unit values for refined garnet sold during the year ranged from \$240 to \$520 per ton, with an average for the year of \$402 per ton; this was a 22% decrease from the 2016 average of \$515 per ton. The decrease in average values were due to the increase in domestic production to offset the reduction in imports from India.

The estimated average unit values of garnet from other leading producer countries based on the customs value of import shipments were as follows: India, \$320 per ton; China, \$300 per ton; and Australia, \$290 per ton. During 2017, the average unit value of industrial garnet imported from all sources was

\$305 per ton of crude garnet, an increase from \$201 per ton in 2016. This increase in price was a result of the drastic reduction of exports from India, owing to the ban on exports of mineral sands. Because of the price increase, some consumers switched to substitutes for garnet such as celestite (strontium) or other manufactured abrasives.

## Foreign Trade

Exports of industrial garnet in 2017 were 23,300 t, and imports were to be 54,200 t (Trade Mining, LLC, 2018). Exports increased by 74% from those of 2016, and imports decreased by 65%. Exports increased because of the new Garnet USA processing plant in Fairless Hills, PA; imports decreased owing to large United States inventories and the mining and export ban on mineral sands in the State of Tamil Nadu, India. (R.R. Rapple, President, Barton International, oral commun., February 6, 2018). In 2017, China (42%), Australia (27%), and India (23%) supplied the majority of United States garnet imports for consumption, with Canada and eight other countries supplying the remaining 8% of imports. Garnet exports from the United States were primarily shipped to Italy (25%), Canada (20%), Mexico (15%), the United Arab Emirates (9%), China (5%), and Trinidad and Tobago (3%), with the remainder going to many other countries.

## World Review

Total world industrial garnet production was estimated to be about 0.97 Mt in 2017, a 14% decrease from that in 2016. The leading global producers were Australia, 364,000 t; South Africa, 211,000 t; India, 142,000 t; the United States, 107,000 t; China, 100,000 t; and other countries, 50,000 t.

Russia and Turkey have been mining garnet in recent years, and small garnet-mining operations are also located in Canada, Chile, Czechia, Pakistan, South Africa, Spain, Thailand, and Ukraine, but the available information was insufficient to make reliable estimates of output. Production in most of these countries is for domestic use.

## Outlook

Garnet is likely to continue displacing silica sand for blasting as countries ban the use of silica sand-blasting media owing to concerns about potential occupational health risks. Garnet also is expected to continue to displace mineral slag abrasives for blasting because it is safer for the environment and less costly to dispose of after it has been recycled (Lismore, 2013).

Worldwide demand for industrial garnet is expected to continue to increase, especially within the markets for abrasive grains for water-jet cutting and for abrasive blasting media. Garnet demand also is expected to continue to expand for aircraft manufacturing and shipbuilding, where significant quantities of garnet are used for abrasive blast cleaning and finishing of metal surfaces and for water-jet cutting.

## References Cited

- Baker Hughes Inc., 2018, North America rotary rig count (January 2000–current): Houston, TX, Baker Hughes Inc., April 27. (Accessed May 1, 2018, at <http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=79687&p=irol-reportsother>.)
- DiStefano, J.N., 2017, Garnet firm to employ 40 in shell of Fairless Works: The Philadelphia [PA] Inquirer, June 27. (Accessed January 23, 2018, at <http://www.philly.com/philly/blogs/inq-phillydeals/garnet-firm-to-employ-40-in-shell-of-fairless-works-20170627.html>.)
- GMA Americas, 2017, GMA launches new processing plant in Bucks County: The Woodlands, TX, GMA Americas news release, October 6. (Accessed April 11, 2018, at [http://www.gmagarnet.com/en-us/info-hub/news-events/gma-launches-new-processing-plant-in-bucks-cou-\(1\)/](http://www.gmagarnet.com/en-us/info-hub/news-events/gma-launches-new-processing-plant-in-bucks-cou-(1)/).)
- Lismore, Siobhan, 2013, The rough with the smooth: Industrial Minerals, no. 546, March, p. 56–59.
- Moore, Paul, 2006, Garnet joins the jet set: Industrial Minerals, no. 462, March, p. 36–41.
- Olsen, J.H., 2012a, Abrasive waterjets move into 3-D shapes, including pipe intersections: Elgin, IL, The Fabricator, August 21. (Accessed April 24, 2018, at <http://www.thefabricator.com/article/waterjetcutting/abrasive-waterjets-move-into-3-d-shapes-including-pipe-intersections>.)
- Olsen, J.H., 2012b, The trend toward larger abrasive waterjet cutting tables: Elgin, IL, The Fabricator, December 10. (Accessed April 24, 2018, at <http://www.thefabricator.com/article/waterjetcutting/the-trend-toward-larger-abrasive-waterjet-cutting-tables>.)
- Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, 2017, General Permit WMGR147—Processing and beneficial use of spent garnet in waterjet cutting and abrasive blasting: Harrisburg, PA, Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, June 9, 7 p. (Accessed March 21, 2018, at [http://files.dep.state.pa.us/Waste/Bureau%20of%20Waste%20Management/WasteMgtPortalFiles/SolidWaste/Residual\\_Waste/GP/2017-06-09\\_WMGR147,%20Amended.pdf](http://files.dep.state.pa.us/Waste/Bureau%20of%20Waste%20Management/WasteMgtPortalFiles/SolidWaste/Residual_Waste/GP/2017-06-09_WMGR147,%20Amended.pdf).)
- Rapple, R.R., 2006, Garnet, in Kogel, J.E., Trivedi, N.C., Barker, J.M., and Krukowski, S.T., eds., Industrial minerals and rocks (7th ed.): Littleton, CO, Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, Inc., p. 475–480.
- Trade Mining, LLC, 2018, Trade Mining—Import trade data: Dearborn, MI, Trade Mining, LLC. (Accessed April 17, 2018, via <https://www.trademining.com/index.jsp>.)

## GENERAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION

### U.S. Geological Survey Publications

- Abrasives. Ch. in United States Mineral Resources, Professional Paper 820, 1973.
- Garnet (Industrial). Ch. in Mineral Commodity Summaries, annual.
- Historical Statistics for Mineral and Material Commodities in the United States. Data Series 140.

### Other

- Garnet. Ch. in Mineral Facts and Problems, U.S. Bureau of Mines Bulletin 675, 1985.
- Industrial Minerals, monthly.
- Industrial Minerals Prices and Data, annual.

TABLE 1  
SALIENT U.S. INDUSTRIAL GARNET STATISTICS<sup>1</sup>

		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
<b>United States:</b>						
<b>Crude production:</b>						
Quantity	metric tons	48,600 <sup>r</sup>	59,900 <sup>r</sup>	77,200 <sup>r</sup>	81,300 <sup>r</sup>	107,000
Value	thousands	\$11,500 <sup>r</sup>	\$11,700 <sup>r</sup>	\$15,700 <sup>r</sup>	\$16,300 <sup>r</sup>	\$20,100
<b>Refined garnet production:</b>						
Quantity	metric tons	43,000 <sup>r</sup>	35,900 <sup>r</sup>	47,200 <sup>r</sup>	46,600 <sup>r</sup>	96,800
Value	thousands	\$25,800 <sup>r</sup>	\$17,200 <sup>r</sup>	\$24,500 <sup>r</sup>	\$24,000 <sup>r</sup>	\$38,900
<b>Exports:<sup>2</sup></b>						
Quantity	metric tons	14,400	15,400	14,700	13,400	23,300
Value	thousands	\$16,000	\$12,800	\$11,000	\$10,800	\$15,800
<b>Imports for consumption:<sup>3</sup></b>						
Quantity	metric tons	152,000 <sup>r</sup>	162,000 <sup>r</sup>	212,000 <sup>r</sup>	156,000 <sup>r</sup>	54,200
Value	thousands	\$41,400 <sup>r</sup>	\$33,900 <sup>r</sup>	\$47,900 <sup>r</sup>	\$31,500 <sup>r</sup>	\$16,500
<b>Apparent consumption:<sup>c,4</sup></b>						
Quantity	metric tons	186,000 <sup>r</sup>	206,000 <sup>r</sup>	274,000 <sup>r</sup>	224,000 <sup>r</sup>	138,000
Value	thousands	\$36,800 <sup>r</sup>	\$32,800 <sup>r</sup>	\$52,600 <sup>r</sup>	\$36,900 <sup>r</sup>	\$20,800
World, production	metric tons	1,160,000 <sup>r</sup>	1,100,000 <sup>r</sup>	1,010,000 <sup>r</sup>	1,130,000 <sup>r</sup>	974,000

<sup>c</sup>Estimated. <sup>r</sup>Revised.

<sup>1</sup>Table includes data available through May 23, 2018. Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits.

<sup>2</sup>Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

<sup>3</sup>Source: U.S. Census Bureau and Trade Mining, LLC; adjusted by U.S. Geological Survey.

<sup>4</sup>Domestic crude production plus imports minus exports.